

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/938,331	HONIG, ERHARD	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Barbara J. Musser	1733	

All Participants:

(1) Barbara J. Musser.

(2) Marvin Berkowitz.

Date of Interview: 20 January 2004

Status of Application: Rejected

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

all

Claims discussed:

all

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner indicated that if applicant claimed the apparatus in combination with a wood-based product and included the limitations of claim 7 in the independent claim, the claim would be allowable and that if such limitations were added to the method such that it had all the limitations of the apparatus, it would be rejoined and allowed as well. Applicant declined to do so..